Adventures In Real-Time Performance Tuning, Part 2

The real-time for Linux patchset does not guarantee adequate real-time behavior for all target platforms. When using real-time Linux on a new platform you should expect to have to tune the kernel and drivers to provide performance that matches your specific requirements.

Part 1, presented at ELC 2008, provided an example of the trials and tribulations of the tuning journey for a MIPS target board.

Part 2 will provide additional examples of methods to debug and tune latency. An additional target for this installment is an SMP ARM board, leading to a new set of challenges.
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With thanks to the Sony SS kernel team in Tokyo for their contributions to this tuning and debugging process.
Overview

Background and Definitions

Some Tuning Strategies and Tactics

Examples of Tuning
Quick Summary of Part 1

Examples of using the old latency instrumentation from the RT patchset to tune latency

/proc/latency_hist/interrupt_off_latency/CPU*

/proc/latency_trace

The new, improved “ftrace” instrumentation appears in the RT patchset 2.6.24-rt2 and mainline 2.6.27-rc1
Quick Summary of Part 1

Characterization of the (large) overhead of latency instrumentation

Tuning a component of overall latency (micro-tuning) can have a negative impact on the overall latency

The value of data visualization (graphs) vs. basic statistics such as min, max, avg, std deviation.
What Is Different

part 1

Linux 2.6.24, MIPS target, UP

part 2

Linux 2.6.23, ARM target, SMP
What is Real Time?

It is determinism (being able to respond to a stimulus before a deadline) within a given system load envelope.

It is NOT fast response time.

The specific real time application deadlines determine how short the maximum response time must be to deliver real time behavior.

Some examples of deadlines are one second, one millisecond, or five microseconds.
RT latency is the delay from stimulus to when the RT “application” is executing code.
RT latency is the delay from stimulus to when the RT “application” is executing code.

Possible RT application contexts include:

- driver interrupt context
- driver thread context
- kernel thread context
- user space thread context
RT latency is the delay from stimulus to when the RT “application” is executing code.

The components that add up to RT latency are important to the tuning process, but keep in mind the goal of tuning actual RT latency.
Some components that may contribute to RT latency

- IRQ disabled time
- preempt disabled time
- IRQ latency, from event until bottom half
- RT driver bottom half(s) execution
- non-RT driver bottom half(s) execution
- task switch time
Some components that may contribute to RT latency

- IRQ disabled time
- preempt disabled time
- IRQ latency, from event until bottom half
- RT driver bottom half(s) execution
- non-RT driver bottom half(s) execution
- task switch time

The components that add up to RT latency are important to the tuning process, but keep in mind the end goal of tuning actual RT latency.
Strategy: Compare Kernel Versions

Use Case #1

I'm stuck on kernel version 2.6.n, but there are reports on the linux-rt-users email list that performance on version 2.6.n + 3 is much better.

Is there some fix that I can port to my kernel version?
Strategy: Compare Kernel Versions

Use Case #2

I moved forward from kernel version 2.6.n to 2.6.n+1 and performance got worse.
Tactics

#1 Compare kernel config options
Tactics

#1 Compare kernel config options

#2 Compare the behavior and/or performance metrics
Raw Interrupt Latency

Latency of timer interrupt to interrupt bottom half execution.
Raw Interrupt Latency

Latency of timer interrupt to interrupt bottom half execution.

**Problem:** latency increased from 6 usec to 11 usec on move from 2.6.22 to 2.6.23
Raw Interrupt Latency

Latency of timer interrupt to interrupt bottom half execution.

**Problem**: latency increased from 6 usec to 11 usec on move from 2.6.22 to 2.6.23

Disclaimer: all kernel versions in this presentation are based on kernel.org, but with patches added, so these results may not be repeatable on kernel.org versions.
Tactics

#1  Compare kernel config options

#2  Compare the behavior and/or performance metrics

Revert the config differences, starting with the most likely culprits.

Metric: raw interrupt latency.
## Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>option</th>
<th>value</th>
<th>usec</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NR_CPUS</td>
<td>4 -&gt; 2</td>
<td>9/10 -&gt; 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO_HZ</td>
<td>y -&gt; n</td>
<td>9 -&gt; 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PREEMPT_RCU_BOOST</td>
<td>y -&gt; n</td>
<td>6 -&gt; 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLAB -&gt; SLOB</td>
<td></td>
<td>5/6 -&gt; 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>others</td>
<td></td>
<td>no big affect</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Task Wake Up Time

Goal: average task wake up time < XXX usec
Task Wake Up Time

Goal: average task wake up time < XXX usec

Problem: actual task wake up time >> XXX usec
Task Wake Up Time

Goal: average task wake up time < XXX usec

Problem: actual task wake up time >> XXX usec

Observation:

2.6.22  avg: 23 usec  max: 33 usec
2.6.23  avg: 72 usec  max: 244 usec
Tactics

#1 Compare kernel config options

#2 Compare the behavior and/or performance metrics

#3 Compare the source code
   - the real-time patch set
   - the base kernel

#3b Read the current version of the source code
Task Wake Up Test (simplified)

/* producer kernel thread, SCHED_FIFO, priority = 98 */

pthread() {
    counter = 10000;

    while (counter-- > 0) {
        mbx->timestamp = read_time();
        set_current_state(TASK_UNINTERRUPTIBLE);
        wake_up_process(ctsk);
        schedule_timeout(DELAY);
    }

    done = 1;
    wake_up_process(ctsk);
}
Task Wake Up Test (simplified)

/* consumer kernel thread, SCHED_FIFO, priority = 99 */

cthread() {
    while (1) {
        delta = read_time() - mbx->timestamp;
        update_stats(delta);
        set_current_state(TASK_UNINTERRUPTIBLE);
        if (done)
            break;
        schedule();
    }

    report_stats();
}
2.6.23 Task Wake Up Time

baseline: no cpu affinity

- x-axis: task wake up usec
- y-axis: count

The graph shows the distribution of task wake up times with peaks at specific intervals.
Intuitive Leap 1

Which processor is each thread on?
Intuitive Leap 1

Which processor is each thread on?

(Ignoring the strategy and tactics for a moment!)
Methodology (M1)

(M1) Instrument the test application

Add mbx->cpu

Producer sets to current cpu

Consumer compares to current cpu
Result (M1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>consumer</th>
<th>---</th>
<th>producer ---</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>cpu 0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cpu 1</td>
<td>4999</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This may be good - each task is on its own processor.
Result (M1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>consumer</th>
<th>cpu 0</th>
<th>cpu 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>cpu 0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cpu 1</td>
<td>4999</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This may be good - each task is on its own processor.

Next Question:
How frequently did producer move between cpus?
Methodology (M2)

(M2) Instrument the test application some more

Log producer and consumer cpu for each iteration
Result (M2)

# producer cpu map:
#
#    0 0101010101010101010101010101010101010101010101010101010101010101010101
#    70 0101010101010101010101010101010101010101010101010101010101010101010101
#   140 0101010101010101010101010101010101010101010101010101010101010101010101
#   210 0101010101010101010101010101010101010101010101010101010101010101010101
#   280 0101010101010101010101010101010101010101010101010101010101010101010101
#   350 0101010101010101010101010101010101010101010101010101010101010101010101
#   420 0101010101010101010101010101010101010101010101010101010101010101010101
#   490 0101010101010101010101010101010101010101010101010101010101010101010101
#   560 0101010101010101010101010101010101010101010101010101010101010101010101
#   630 0101010101010101010101010101010101010101010101010101010101010101010101
#   700 0101010101010101010101010101010101010101010101010101010101010101010101
#
# consumer cpu map:
#
#    0 1010101010101010101010101010101010101010101010101010101010101010101010
#    70 1010101010101010101010101010101010101010101010101010101010101010101010
#   140 1010101010101010101010101010101010101010101010101010101010101010101010
#   210 1010101010101010101010101010101010101010101010101010101010101010101010
#   280 1010101010101010101010101010101010101010101010101010101010101010101010
#   350 1010101010101010101010101010101010101010101010101010101010101010101010
#   420 1010101010101010101010101010101010101010101010101010101010101010101010
#   490 1010101010101010101010101010101010101010101010101010101010101010101010
#   560 1010101010101010101010101010101010101010101010101010101010101010101010
#   630 1010101010101010101010101010101010101010101010101010101010101010101010
#   700 1010101010101010101010101010101010101010101010101010101010101010101010
Result (M2)

# producer cpu map:
#
#       0 0101010101010101010101010101010101010101
#      70 0101010101010101010101010101010101010101
#

# consumer cpu map:
#
#       0 10101010101010101010101010101010101010101
#      70 10101010101010101010101010101010101010101
Result (M2)

# producer cpu map:
#
#      0 0101010101010101010101010101010101010101
#     70 0101010101010101010101010101010101010101
#

# consumer cpu map:
#
#      0 1010101010101010101010101010101010101010
#     70 1010101010101010101010101010101010101010

Excessive migration is usually not good.
Compare to 2.6.22

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>consumer</th>
<th>---</th>
<th>producer</th>
<th>---</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>cpu 0</td>
<td>10000</td>
<td>cpu 0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Returning to the strategy and tactics.)
Compare to 2.6.22

# producer cpu map:
#
#
  0 0000000000000000000000000000000000000000
  70 0000000000000000000000000000000000000000
#

# consumer cpu map:
#
#
  0 0000000000000000000000000000000000000000
  70 0000000000000000000000000000000000000000
Fix (F1)

Set processor affinity for pthread() and cthread()
Fix (F1)

Set processor affinity for cthread() and pthread()

**Goal**: verify that task migration is the cause of the increased task wake up time.
## Fix Result (F1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>producer cpu</th>
<th>consumer cpu</th>
<th>task switch</th>
<th>usec avg</th>
<th>max</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>147</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>159</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

baseline
Fix Result (F1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>producer cpu</th>
<th>consumer cpu</th>
<th>task switch usec</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>avg 69, max 147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>avg 68, max 159</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Each line is a 10000 iteration test run result.

This data does not match the original problem statement (avg 72, max 244) due to changes in kernel configuration.
## Fix Result (F1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>producer cpu affinity</th>
<th>consumer cpu affinity</th>
<th>task switch usec avg</th>
<th>max</th>
<th>baseline</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>64</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>108</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Fix Result (F1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>producer cpu affinity</th>
<th>consumer cpu affinity</th>
<th>task switch usec</th>
<th>avg</th>
<th>max</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>64</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>108</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>94</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>66</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>68</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>68</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fix Result (F1)

affinity of producer cpu / consumer cpu
purple: 0/0 1/1  blue: 1/0  red: 0/1
Fix Result (F1)

affinity of producer cpu / consumer cpu
purple: 0/0 1/1 red: 0/1 blue: 1/0 green: none
Fix Conclusions (F1)

Processor migration increases task wake up time significantly.
Fix Conclusions (F1)

Processor migration increases task wake up time significantly.

But setting processor affinity for a large number of real-time tasks is not a desired solution for the problem.
Fix Conclusions (F1)

Processor migration increases task wake up time significantly.

But setting processor affinity for a large number of real-time tasks is not a desired solution for the problem.

And task wake up time still larger than on 2.6.22.
Recall Tactic #1

Examine how the kernel source changed.
Recall Tactic #1

Examine how the kernel source changed.

The real-time scheduler became more aggressive about moving tasks between processors.
Recall Tactic #1

Examine how the kernel source changed.

The real-time scheduler became more aggressive about moving tasks between processors.

Possible fix: revert to the old scheduler algorithm.
Recall Tactic #1

Examine how the kernel source changed.

The real-time scheduler became more aggressive about moving tasks between processors.

Possible fix: revert to the old scheduler algorithm.

But moving to older versions is not the direction that I want to go...

So attempt to improve the current version.
2.6.23 Real Time Scheduler

When a real time task wakes a higher priority real time task the real-time scheduler prefers to not push the (lower priority) currently running task to another processor.
When a real time task wakes a higher priority real time task the real-time scheduler prefers to not push the (lower priority) currently running task to another processor.

The assumption is that the running process is cache hot and the newly awakened process is cache cold.
Revisit Test

P: producer thread, priority = 98
C: consumer thread, priority = 99
TI: timer interrupt handler

P [98]: set_current_state(TASK_UNINTERRUPTIBLE)
P [98]: wake_up_process(consumer)
  C: [99]: consumer may preempt producer
      or may be pushed to other processor
  C: [99]: processes message
  C: [99]: schedule()
P [98]: schedule_timeout()
  TI [-]: timer irq, wake_up_process(producer)
P [98]: create next message
Fix (F2)

May allow consumer to remain on the same processor as the producer.

```c
select_task_rq_rt()
+
+   /*
+    * If current task on this CPU is about to sleep,
+    * next task should run on this CPU.
+    */
+    if (current->state != TASK_RUNNING) {
+        int cpu = smp_processor_id();
+        if (cpu_isset(cpu, p->cpus_allowed))
+            return cpu;
+    }
```
## Fix Result (F2)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>producer cpu</th>
<th>consumer cpu</th>
<th>task wake up usec</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>avg 69  max 147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>avg 68  max 159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>avg 39  max 64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>avg 40  max 108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>avg 40  max 94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>avg 39  max 45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>avg 23  max 63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>avg 23  max 65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fix Result (F2)

producer and consumer always on same cpu, instead of always on the other cpu

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th># consumer</th>
<th>cpu 0</th>
<th>cpu 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td># cpu 0</td>
<td>5168</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># cpu 1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4832</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fix Result (F2)

producer and consumer always on same cpu, instead of always on the other cpu

# -- producer ------------
# consumer      cpu 0       cpu 1
# --------     ----------  ----------
#  cpu 0             5168           0
#  cpu 1                0        4832

How often does migration occur?
One migration per test run?
One migration per message?
Result (M2)

# producer cpu map:
#
# 0 0010101010000000000100111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111
# 70 1111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111
# 140 0000000000001111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111
# 210 1111111110011111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111
# 280 1111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111
# 350 0000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000001
# 420 0011111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111
# 490 1111111111111111111111111111110000000000000000000000000000000000000000
# 560 0000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000010011111111
# 630 1111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111
# 700 1111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111

# consumer cpu map:
#
# 0 0010101010000000000100111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111
# 70 1111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111
# 140 0000000000000111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111
# 210 1111111110011111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111
# 280 1111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111
# 350 0000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000010011111111
# 420 0011111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111
# 490 1111111111111111111111111111110000000000000000000000000000000000000000
# 560 0000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000001
# 630 1111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111
# 700 1111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111
Result (M2)

# producer cpu map:
#
#    0 0010101010000000000010011111111111111111
#    70 11111111111111111111111111111111111111
#    140 000000000000011111111111111111111111
#
# consumer cpu map:
#
#    0 0010101010000000000010011111111111111111
#    70 11111111111111111111111111111111111111
#    140 000000000000011111111111111111111111

Occasional migration, but producer and consumer always on same cpu
Revisit Test, in more detail

P: producer thread, priority = 98
C: consumer thread, priority = 99
TI: timer interrupt handler, irq context
TT: timer interrupt handler, thread context, priority = 50

P [98]: set_current_state(TASK_UNINTERRUPTIBLE)
P [98]: wake_up_process(consumer)
   C: [99]: consumer preempts producer
      producer may be pulled by other processor
   C: [99]: processes message
   C: [99]: schedule()
P [98]: schedule_timeout()
   TI [--]: timer irq
      TT [50]: wake_up_process(producer)
         producer may be pushed to other processor
P [98]: create next message
Revisit Test, in more detail

Possible double migration for producer.

P:  producer thread, priority = 98
C:  consumer thread, priority = 99
TI: timer interrupt handler, irq context
TT: timer interrupt handler, thread context, priority = 50

P [98]: set_current_state(TASK_UNINTERRUPTIBLE)
P [98]: wake_up_process(consumer)
  C: [99]: consumer preempts producer
      producer may be pulled by other processor
  C: [99]: processes message
  C: [99]: schedule()
P [98]: schedule_timeout()
  TI [--]: timer irq
      TT [50]: wake_up_process(producer)
          producer may be pushed to other processor
P [98]: create next message
Methodology (M3)

Verify double migration theory
Result (M3)

Adding further instrumentation to the producer task verified the suspected additional task migration.
Fix (F3)

Modify kernel/sched_rt.c algorithms to make real-time process migration less aggressive
Fix (F3)

Define “overloaded”, for purposes of pushing RT tasks, as:

number of tasks on RT run queue

>= CONFIG_RT_OVERLOAD
Fix (F3)

Define “overloaded”, for purposes of pushing RT tasks, as:

number of tasks on RT run queue
with priority > (MAX_USER_RT_PRIO / 2)

>= CONFIG_RT_OVERLOAD
Fix (F3)

Define “overloaded”, for purposes of pushing RT tasks, as:

> number of tasks on RT run queue with priority > (MAX_USER_RT_PRIO / 2)

>= CONFIG_RT_OVERLOAD

instead of

> number of tasks on RT run queue > 0
Fix (F3)

Define “overloaded”, for purposes of pushing RT tasks, as:

number of tasks on RT run queue with priority > \( \frac{\text{MAX\_USER\_RT\_PRIO}}{2} \) 

\[ \geq \text{CONFIG\_RT\_OVERLOAD} \]

The priority of many kernel threads is:

\( \text{MAX\_USER\_RT\_PRIO} / 2 \)
```c
#define MAX_USER_RT_PRIO CONFIG_MAX_USER_RT_PRIO

MAX_USER_RT_PRIO / 2 == 50

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PID</th>
<th>CMD</th>
<th>RTPRIO</th>
<th>CLS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>[migration/0]</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>FF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>[posix_cpu_timer]</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>FF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>[softirq-high/0]</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>FF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>[softirq-timer/0]</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>FF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>[softirq-net-tx/]</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>FF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>[softirq-net-rx/]</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>FF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>[softirq-block/0]</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>FF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>[softirq-tasklet]</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>FF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>[softirq-sched/0]</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>FF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>[softirq-rcu/0]</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>FF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>[watchdog/0]</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>FF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>[events/0]</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>FF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71</td>
<td>[krcupreemptd]</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>FF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>94</td>
<td>[IRQ-125]</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>FF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103</td>
<td>[IRQ-152]</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>FF</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```
# Fix Result (F3)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>producer cpu</th>
<th>consumer cpu</th>
<th>task</th>
<th>wake up</th>
<th>usec</th>
<th>avg</th>
<th>max</th>
<th>Fix</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>147</td>
<td></td>
<td>baseline</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>159</td>
<td></td>
<td>baseline</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>63</td>
<td></td>
<td>Fix F2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>65</td>
<td></td>
<td>Fix F2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>23</td>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.6.22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>21</td>
<td>41</td>
<td></td>
<td>Fix F3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>21</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
<td>Fix F3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Fix Result (F3)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>producer cpu</th>
<th>consumer cpu</th>
<th>task wake up usec</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>69 147 baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>68 159 baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>23 63 Fix F2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>23 65 Fix F2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>21 41 Fix F3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>21 25 Fix F3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

additional checks:
- average irq/preempt off improved
- maximum irq/preempt off improved
- cyclictest results not negatively impacted
Fix Result (F2, F3)

affinity of producer cpu / consumer cpu
purple: 0/0 1/1 red: 0/1 blue: 1/0 cyan, green: low migration, none
Fix Result (F2, F3)

blue: no push if != TASK_RUNNING
red: least aggressive migration
Fix Conclusion (F2, F3)

Reducing processor migration may decrease task wake up time significantly.
Fix Conclusion (F2, F3)

Reducing processor migration may decrease task wake up time significantly.

But test is a rather simplistic case

real-time task processing time $<<$ task switch time
real-time task processing time $<<$ task migration time
Fix Conclusion (F2, F3)

Reducing processor migration may decrease task wake up time significantly.

But test is a rather simplistic case

real-time task processing time \ll task switch time
real-time task processing time \ll task migration time

Will these improvements apply to a more complex real-time application?
Strategy: Trust Nothing, Blame Everything
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A minor distraction from both the debug / fix process and the flow of this presentation. But this is about the point in the debug process that I got around to tracking an irritant in the data.
Strategy: Trust Nothing, Blame Everything

A minor distraction from both the debug / fix process and the flow of this presentation. But this is about the point in the debug process that I got around to tracking an irritant in the data.

Real life is never the clean, straight line process that this talk pretends to show.

Data from step n + X is often hard to compare to data from step n.
Strategy: Trust Nothing, Blame Everything

A single very large maximum task wake up time was occasionally appearing during the early stages of the testing and improvements described in the previous slides.

The data for the tables of results and graphs shown on previous slides was recreated after resolving the cause of the large maximum.
Strategy: Trust Nothing, Blame Everything

A single very large maximum task wake up time was occasionally appearing during the early stages of the testing and improvements described in the previous slides.

CAUSE: a printk() in the consumer task, located before the test loop
Tactics

#1 Compare kernel config options

Still have not applied tactic #1 to the task wake up time issue.
Fix (F4)

1) Kernel configuration changes
   
   - CONFIG_PREEMPT_RCU_BOOST=n
   - CONFIG_NO_HZ=n

2) Disable ARM option to enable interrupts in context_switch().
   
   - CONFIG_DISABLE_WANT_INTERRUPTS_ON_CTXSW=y

   (Interrupts are enabled then disabled in context_switch() if
    __ARCH_WANT_INTERRUPTS_ON_CTXSW is defined. This is similar to, but
    somewhat different than, the experiment of enabling irqs on the return from interrupts
    path described in “Adventures in Real-Time Performance Tuning, Part 1”.)
Fix (F4)

1) Kernel configuration changes
   CONFIG_PREEMPT_RCU_BOOST=n
   CONFIG_NO_HZ=n

2) Disable ARM option to enable interrupts in context_switch().
   CONFIG_DISABLE_WANT_INTERRUPTS_ON_CTXSW

(Interrupts are enabled then disabled in context_switch() if
__ARCH_WANT_INTERRUPTS_ON_CTXSW is defined. This is similar to, but
somewhat different than, the experiment of enabling irqs on the return from interrupts
path described in “Adventures in Real-Time Performance Tuning, Part 1”.)

Goal: verify that the config changes to reduce irq off
time do not increase task wake up time
Fix Result (F4)

Average task wake up time, 10000 iteration test run 8 times per configuration

21 - 22 usec  CONFIG_DISABLE_WANT_INTERRUPTS_ON_CTXSW=y
              CONFIG_PREEMPT_RCU_BOOST=n
              CONFIG_NO_HZ=n

20 - 22 usec  CONFIG_DISABLE_WANT_INTERRUPTS_ON_CTXSW=y

21 - 24 usec  4 migration fixes, after merging unrelated patches
22 usec  4 migration fixes, before merging unrelated patches
23 - 24 usec  2 migration fixes

40 - 43 usec  pinned to same processor
32 - 53 usec  pinned to different processor
              73 usec  no processor affinity

Not a large impact on average task wakeup time, but a large improvement in irq/preempt off metric
A Bigger Picture Of Metrics
(but still a subset of what I track)

AKA keeping the big picture in view
What Does It All Mean?
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Keep real time application performance in sight while tuning individual components
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Watch a vast range of metrics and behaviors for each change.
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Look at a large number of statistics for the metrics (for example, minimum, maximum, average, standard deviation)
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Keep real time application performance in sight while tuning individual components.

Watch a vast range of metrics and behaviors for each change.

Look at a large number of statistics for the metrics (for example, minimum, maximum, average, standard deviation).

Look at graphic representations of metrics.
What Does It All Mean?

What is in the scope of a tuning effort?
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What is in the scope of a tuning effort?

EVERYTHING, just like in any debugging effort!
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What is in the scope of a tuning effort?

EVERYTHING, just like in any debugging effort!

- Instrumentation
- Tests
- Kernel
- Drivers
- Real Time Applications
- Other Applications
- External Influences
What Does It All Mean?

Frank's Law of Performance Tools
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Frank's Law of Performance Tools

The performance metric that you need to answer the current question

- is not available from any existing source or tool
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- is not available from any existing source or tool
- or is not presented in a meaningful manner
What Does It All Mean?

Frank's Law of Performance Tools

The performance metric that you need to answer the current question

- is not available from any existing source or tool

- or is not presented in a meaningful manner

You will need to write a new tool or leverage an existing tool.
Resources

Rtiwiki
   http://rt.wiki.kernel.org/index.php/Main_Page

rt-user-list
   http://dir.gmane.org/gmane.linux.rt.user

eLinux.org
   http://elinux.org/Real_Time

cyclicetest
   http://git.kernel.org/?p=linux/kernel/git/tglx/rt-tests.git;a=summary
Resources

ftrace
  http://people.redhat.com/srostedt/ftrace-tutorial.odp
  kernel source: Documentation/ftrace.txt

hackbench
  http://devresources.linux-foundation.org/craiger/hackbench/

LatencyTOP
  http://www.latencytop.org

“Stress actions - things that will kill realtime performance”
and information about test programs and testing
  http://elinux.org/Realtime_Testing_Best_Practices
Resources

A realtime preemption overview
http://lwn.net/Articles/146861

What's in the realtime tree
http://lwn.net/Articles/252716

Ninth Real-Time Linux Workshop 2007
http://lwn.net/Articles/260118
http://linuxdevices.com/articles/AT4991083271.html